THE NATURE OF THE DRAUPNER GIANT WAVE OF 1ST JAN 1995 AND THE ASSOCIATED SEA-STATE

AND

HOW TO ESTIMATE DIRECTIONAL SPREADING FROM AN EULERIAN SURFACE ELEVATION TIME HISTORY

PAUL TAYLOR, TOM ADCOCK, ALISTAIR BORTHWICK, DAN WALKER and YAO YAO

Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford



With thanks to Sverre Haver of Statoil

The engineering context for the modelling of large waves

Extremes in the natural environment

- recent hurricanes in the Gulf of Mexico
- Ivan
- Katrina
- Rita
- most named storms in 2005 above previous max set in 1969
- massive damage to offshore oil/gas infrastructure



Unfortunate choice of name



September 29, 2005

Market responds to **Rita**-related damage to Gulf production facilities

".. Chevron Corp's Typhoon Tension Leg Platform

was severed from its moorings by Hurricane Rita and is floating upside down ... natural-gas futures skyrocketed...

Thus, in the U.S, ...it's ...going to be a long, cold, *expensive* winter."





From http://blog.kir.com/archives/002473.asp



A big jack-up rig reduced to scrap metal





A moderate storm in the northern North Sea in the winter





Fig. 0. The Draupner S and Draupner E platforms in the North Sea, Photo: Øyvind Hagen, Statoil.

A Possible Freak Wave Event Measured at the Draupner Jacket January 1 1995

www.math.uio.no/karstent/seminarV05/ Haver2004.pdf

Sverre Haver

Marine Structures and Risers, Statoil ASA, N-4035 Stavanger, Norway <u>svha@statoil.com</u>

Field data from Draupner 1st Jan 1995

The New Year wave and 1 hour later - similar H_s and spectrum



Talk will focus on

Height of Draupner crest

Trough-crest asymmetry - directional spreading

Set-down and set-up – bound long waves - directional spreading

Definition of a freak wave ?

Crest-trough comparison

- sort peak crest elevations and peak trough depressions into ascending order



Linearisation Local Stokes expansion backwards, then search for S₂₂ value removing net skewness $\eta_L \approx \eta - \frac{S_{22}}{d} \left(\eta^2 - \eta_H^2 \right)$

Second and third order sum contributions

The first three terms of a Stokes water wave expansion:

$$\eta(t) = a\cos\phi + a^2 \frac{S_{22}}{d}\cos 2\phi + a^3 \frac{S_{33}}{d^2}\cos 3\phi$$

Linear contribution and its Hilbert transform:

 $\eta_L = a \cos \phi$ and $\eta_{LH} = a \sin \phi$

Second order sum contributions: $\eta_2 = \frac{S_{22}}{d}a^2 \cos 2\phi = \frac{S_{22}}{d}(\eta_L^2 - \eta_{LH}^2)$

Linearised wave record:
$$\eta_L \approx \eta - \frac{S_{22}}{d} \left(\eta^2 - \eta_H^2 \right)$$

We seek the coefficient S_{22} that sets the skewness of η_L to zero

Second and third order sum contributions



16:20 record : $S_{22} = 1.02$

 $(D_2 = S_{22}/d)$

Second (and third) order sum contributions

Stokes water wave expansion for finite water depth (Fenton, 1990):



Using kd = 1.6: $S_{22} = 1.0987$

Average wave shape of extreme in linear random Gaussian process :

NewWave~auto-correlation functionLindgren 1970 Boccotti 1983average shapeFourier transform of spectrum

- result connects shape of extremes to mean properties

- isolated wave group allows realistic modelling of an extreme event



NewWave =
$$A_N \times \frac{\int S(\omega) \cos(\omega t) d\omega}{\int S(\omega) d\omega}$$

 $A_N = (2m_0 (\ln N))^{1/2}$

 A_N - magnitude of crest is Rayleigh distributed

Fifth order NewWave

- Stokes' 5th order wave theory is used
- Stokes' coefficients are defined using kd = 1.6
- The temporal contributions for all non-linear terms up to 5th order are defined in terms of the linear wave record and its Hilbert transform
- Amplitude of linear NewWave = 14.7m
- Crest of fifth order NewWave = 18.5*m* = Crest of New Year wave

Stokes wave expansion up to fifth order:

$$\eta(t) = a\cos\phi + a^2 \frac{S_{22}}{d}\cos 2\phi + a^3 \frac{S_{31}}{d^2}\cos\phi + a^3 \frac{S_{33}}{d^2}\cos 3\phi + a^4 \frac{S_{42}}{d^3}\cos 2\phi + a^4 \frac{S_{44}}{d^3}\cos 4\phi + a^5 \frac{S_{51}}{d^4}\cos\phi + a^5 \frac{S_{53}}{d^4}\cos 3\phi + a^5 \frac{S_{55}}{d^4}\cos 5\phi$$

Walker, Taylor and Eatock Taylor AOR 26, 73 (2004)



For linear crest amplitude 14.7*m*, **Draupner wave is a 1 in ~ 200,000 wave**

but... 10% reduced Stokes 2nd order coeff and local wave SHAPE ?



Draupner vertical asymmetry -15:20 and 16:20 records (100 waves each)

Eulerian surface elevation time history from a single sensor

Any directional information ? linear signal – NO nonlinear Stokes-like bound harmonics – MAYBE

Largest harmonics are 2nd order

sum (crest-trough vertical asymmetry) and difference (wave group structure)

First, we consider the sum term

IN PARTICULAR, $S_{\rm 22}\,$ is sensitive to the local directional spreading

Relative change of 2^{nd} order sum interaction kernel S_{22} away from in-line Stokes 2^{nd} order coefficient for a pair of single freq components at an angle

from Dalzell AOR 1999, Dean & Sharma 1981



Relative change of S_{22} is virtually independent of water depth and quadratic with angle

S₂₂ coefficients for **Draupner** lower than Stokes theory

Corresponding to *estimated* rms spreading angle ~ 20 + and 15 +

Directional buoy measurements of **spreading angle** ~ **20** + from Auk

Spreading estimate reasonable but...

Unfortunately, we can't do any better - statistical variability

- 100 waves isn't enough

ASCE Waves 2005, Madrid, July 2005



Long waves - small but cleanly separated in freq

2nd order difference fits to 16.20 filtered record based on Gaussian spreading of 0°, 20°, 35°



Possible *mean* spreading functions for Draupner sea-states



Ewans K Observations of the directional spectrum of fetch-limited waves. *Jn. Phys.Oceanogr.*, 1998 **28**, 495-512.



rms error – long waves vs. 2nd order difference simulation



rms error – long waves vs. 2nd order difference simulation



Spreading from 2nd order sum and difference analysis is consistent

15.20 20° spreading split at higher freq only

16.20 15° single Gaussian form



Fig. 15 Wave spectrum for the time history in Fig. 13

Why?

Speculation : 1st Jan meteorological event was winter storm + polar low # crossing sea-state, which then merged What spreading are we estimating?

Not

the orthodox measure of directional deviation away from a mean direction over 20min

Instead

the directional deviation of components within each wave relative to the instantaneous mean for that wave

This 2nd definition should be smaller than the orthodox measure



High-order Boussinesq 1-D simulations of Draupner wave

- focussing is close to linear, no net 3rd order wave-wave interactions

actually, very weakly de-focussing
Draupner is close to cut-off kd = 1.36 for NLS

Is the Draupner wave a freak ?



Comparison of measured long wave to 2nd order difference waves with Ewans spreading of 20°

Second order difference contribution to the New Year wave

20

Largest wave in 1520 record



low-pass filtering at 0.04Hz 0.03Hz 0.02Hz

Largest wave in 1620 record

20

Small $\oplus 0.3m$ in 18.5m but curious!

In conclusion:

1st Jan 1995 sea-states

Severe Consistent spreading from different 2nd order sum and difference analyses Spread at 20° then 15° Crossing seas which merge?

Giant wave

Shape – not too different from NewWave Return period ~ 1 in 200,000 No evidence for strong 3rd order wave-wave interactions

Freak?

Local set-up not set-down – perhaps a definition for a freak wave ??

Speculations with 100 waves!

Questions and comments?

Thank you for your attention.

This is not a rogue (or freak) wave – it was entirely expected !!



Odyssey opens as this weekend's British National Surfing Championships begin. Nick Harris reports

