Numerical study of wave-current interaction using high resolution coupled model in the Kuroshio region

Hitoshi Tamura¹, Takuji Waseda^{1,2} Yasumasa Miyazawa¹, Kosei Komatsu³

Frontier Research Center for Global Change/ JAMSTEC
 Department of Environmental & Ocean Engineering/ The Univ. of Tokyo
 National Research Institute of Fisheries Science/ Fisheries Research Agency

Introduction

Global and regional wave forecasts

The demand for further improvements Engineering: ship navigation, avoidance of extreme sea.. Climate research: wave climatology, ocean mixing..

Because of the lack of high resolution current forecast, most wave forecasts do not include wave-current interaction.

Demonstrating the significance of the wavecurrent interaction

Global : Janssen et al. 2005 (ECMWF wave model)



Regional (Gulf stream) : Tolman et al., 1994

Regional (Kuroshio) : Wolf, 2002







<u>WAVE-JCOPE PROJECT</u> Goal : To establish a realistic high-resolution coupled wave-current prediction model.

the influence of ocean current upon waves

WAVE-JCOPE High resolution Japan Regional wave model 1/12 deg.

Existing forecast products

JMA wind field data 1/10 deg.

JCOPE current field data 1/12 deg.



WAVE-JCOPE v0.2 Third-generation wind-wave model WAVEWATCH-III v2.22 (Tolman, 2002)

Parameterization schemes implemented

Propagation : U-QUICKEST Wind input :Snyder (1981) Dissipation :Snyder (1981) Nonlinear interactions :DIA Bottom friction :JONSWAP

→ SRIAM (Komatsu & Masuda, 1996)

Essential for the improved estimation of the wave-current interaction

Computational conditions of the High-Resolution wave model

WAVE-JCOPE -Spatial resolution

1/12 deg. (349 x 277)

Frequency range

0.042-0.41 Hz (25)

Directional increment

10 deg. (36)

Wind data (JMA Re. anal.) 6 hourly, 1/8 -1/10deg. Current data (JCOPE Re. anal.) 2-day mean, 1/12 deg.



Temporal evolution of the significant wave height



TOKAGE

Oct. 21 00:00 UTC

Impact of wave-current interaction

Monthly average significant wave height

Period: Oct. 2004







The difference of the wave height over the Kuroshio is quite eminent and is in accord with the earlier work.

(a) – (b): difference with & without current

Impact of wave-current interaction (temporal evolution of wave height difference)



the divergence of wave propagation

the convergence of wave propagation

<u>Highly sensitive</u> <u>to the small</u> <u>scale current</u> <u>structure</u>

The realistic representation of the current field is very important for high-resolution wave forecast

Impact of wave-current interaction (frequency-directional spectrum)





wave energy to SSW-ward direction is added to the SW-ward spectrum

This component may be considered as the trapped wave by Kuroshio

For the improved estimation of the wave spectrum by the wave-current interaction... -> RIAM and SRIAM methods

Implementation of RIAM as the nonlinear source term

RIAM method (Komatsu and Masuda, 1996)

- developed on the basis of a stable and rigorous algorithm by <u>Masuda(1980)</u>
- 300 times faster than the Masuda method

 high accuracy even for spectra of narrow band widths or bimodal spectra

Non-linear transfer functions of DIA, WRT and RIAM method

Pierson-Moskowiz, Mitsuyasu-Hasselmann



RIAM shows good agreement with WRT





Computational times of WRT and RIAM method are almost same. (2000 times slower than DIA)

Implementation of SRIAM method as the nonlinear source term of WAVE-JCOPE model SRIAM (Komatsu, 1996): an efficient scheme for operational use

Optimization based on the parameter settings

8 wave spectra

peakedness: γ 1.0 - 9.0 $\cos^{s}\theta$: *s* 2.0 - 10.



20 pairs of resonance configurations

Comparison of RIAM and SRIAM methods



<u>About 100 times faster than RIAM method</u> <u>with high accuracy !! (20 times the DIA computation)</u>

Numerical experiment of wave refraction by an eddy current field

Spatial resolution : 1/12 deg. (241 x 121)

Frequency range : 0.042-0.41 Hz (25) Directional increment : 10 deg. (36)



Nonlinear interactions :DIA & SRIAM Propagation : U-QUICKEST Wind input & Dissipation :Snyder (1981)



- Decrease of wave height near the wall is much larger for the DIA computation.
- Location of focal points by current are considerably different



spectral shapes calculated by SRIAM are more peaked than that of DIA
 spectral spreading by SRIAM is clearly narrower compared with DIA



Down shifting of frequency spectrum is remarkable for DIA
 Mean wave directions are shifted by the current effect and directional spreading is narrower for SRIAM



<u>Conclusions</u>

Comparing the monthly-averages with and without current, the difference of the spatial distribution of the significant wave height, over the Kuroshio is quite eminent.

Instantaneous differences extend for quite a distance away from the Kuroshio downwind.

The shapes of the directional wave spectra calculated with and without wave–current interactions are also quite different.

For the improved estimation of the spectral shape by the wave-current interaction, we implemented RIAM and SRIAM method to evaluate the non-linear interaction.

Spatial distribution of significant wave height are completely different with DIA and SRIAM.
Thank you

