# Development & Validation of Hindcast-Driven Nearshore Wave Information

William R. Dally and Daniel A. Osiecki



Thanks to: FDEP Bureau of Beaches & Coastal Systems US Army Corps ERDC



**Objective:** Create long-term nearshore wave climate by transforming AES-50 deepwater hindcast information to shallow water using STWAVE.

**However:** directional spectra must first be reconstituted from archived parameters.

Validate: using available shallow water measurements.





Bottom friction added to STWAVE



# How to select $C_f$ ?

• Don't want  $C_f$  to be a 'tuning knob' for shortcomings in physics in either the deepwater or the transformation models (e.g. whitecapping, air-sea & wavewave interactions).

• Don't want selection of  $C_f$  to be convoluted by other processes (e.g. opposing winds).

### Motivation for the Canaveral Cross-Shelf Experiment

1) Collect high-resolution directional spectra in as great a depth as possible, to use as <u>direct</u> input for STWAVE<sup>+</sup> friction-calibration against Spessard ADCP.

2) Study the evolution of the directional spectrum as waves cross the shelf.

3) Mount all ADCP's at nominally the same distance below msl, so that an apples-to-apples comparison could be made between the spectra measured at different water depths.



Spessard Spud (8.5 m depth)
Rock Tower (17.7 m depth)
Tug Tower (19.2 m depth)
Liberty Tripod (22.0 m depth)







## Canaveral Cross-Shelf Experiment

- Four 1200 kHz ADCP instruments deployed:
- Deployed and retrieved gauges 3 times approx. 90 days of measurements.
- Collected simultaneous directional wave spectra (20-min. records, bihourly) and current profiles.
- Wind measurements from NDBC 41009 and Spessard station.



# Bottom friction calibration procedure

- 1. Screen the data using dissipation indicator threshold (0.01  $m^2/s$ ).
- 2. Omit times with opposing winds.
- 3. Window the Liberty directional spectra to fit STWAVE<sup>+</sup> half-plane (-20° to 160°).
- 4. Use the selected Liberty spectra to drive STWAVE<sup>+</sup> with 3 different friction factors (0.0, 0.05, 0.10), and archive results at Spessard.
- 5. For each STWAVE<sup>+</sup> run, fit a parabola to the modeled  $H_{mo}(C_f)$  at Spessard, and use the measured  $H_{mo}$  to compute a 'best-fit' factor.

Bottom friction dissipation indicator:

$$\varepsilon_F = \frac{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \pi^2 f_p^3 H_{mo}^3}{\sinh^3 k_p h}$$

47 out of ~1200 Liberty/Spessardpairs used to calibrate.

 $C_{f} \sim 0.026$ 





# Reconstitution of Directional Spectra from AES Parameters

### 7 Parameters used:

#### Sea

1) energy, 2) peak period, 3) mean direction

#### **Swell**

4) energy, 5) peak period, 6) mean direction

7) directional spreading coefficient of total spectrum

JONSWAP for frequency ( $\gamma$ =3.3)

Mitsuyazu for directional spread





AES/STWAVE<sup>+</sup> modeling results: August 28, 2001 – June 30, 2004









### Average spectra at Spessard



Measured

Modeled

### Model vs. Spessard Meas.



### Model vs. Spessard Meas.

AES vs. Buoy 41009



Average spectra at Spessard,  $H_{mo} \ge 1m$ 



Measured

Modeled







AES/STWAVE+ vs. WIS





### Conclusions/Recommendations/Issues

•Paired wave gauges to address bottom friction – local calibration.

•Reconstitution method: Directional distribution looks OK, frequency distribution needs work. (Hindcast archived as 2D spectra would be nice...)

•Deepwater hindcast overpredicts during low energy – what to do?

•Don't archive deepwater hindcast results in shallow water.

•Measurements are critical to improved, believable hindcast modeling – how to get higher directional resolution in deeper water?



# Thank you!



### Table 1 – Range in Friction Factor ( $C_f$ ) for Various Natural Bed Types

Bed Composition	Friction Factor Range (Typical)	Reference
Flat sand	0.0025 - 0.05 (0.005)	Kamphuis, 1975
Rippled sand	0.003 - 0.25 (0.10)	Nielsen, 1992
Reef	0.05 – 0.25 (0.15)	Gerritsen, 1980



ADCP Directional Wave Distribution Time Series with NDBC 41009 Wind Direction Markers







