A Hurricane Ian Case Study to **Refine Hurricane Storm Surge Forecasting Using Physics-Based Deterministic and Probabilistic Meteorological Products**

Dylan Wood, Maria Teresa Contreras Vargas, Coleman Blakely, Albert Cerrone,

Damrongsak Wirasaet, Joannes Westerink, Benjamin Pachev, Shintaro Bunya and Rick Luettich

3rd International Workshop on Waves, Storm Surges, and Coastal Hazards October 5, 2023





	 Hurricane Ian (2022) impacted
°N	Southwest Florida with significant
	surge near Fort Myers.

- 3.5m in coastal communities
 - -- Fort Myers Beach
 - -- Bonita Beach
 - -- Bonita Springs
 - 2m on Caloosahatchee River
 - 2m in Naples



- Most tracks biased too northwesterly
- NHC Cone of uncertainty tighter than variability in ensemble tracks
- GFS, GEFS and HRRR all have low wind speeds
- Tracks towards Ft. Myers only about 1 day before landfall



- Most tracks biased too northwesterly
- NHC Cone of uncertainty tighter than variability in ensemble tracks
- GFS, GEFS and HRRR all have low wind speeds
- Tracks towards Ft. Myers only about 1 day before landfall



- Most tracks biased too northwesterly
- NHC Cone of uncertainty tighter than variability in ensemble tracks
- GFS, GEFS and HRRR all have low wind speeds
- Tracks towards Ft. Myers only about 1 day before landfall



- Most tracks biased too northwesterly
- NHC Cone of uncertainty tighter than variability in ensemble tracks
- GFS, GEFS and HRRR all have low wind speeds
- Tracks towards Ft. Myers only about 1 day before landfall



- Most tracks biased too northwesterly
- NHC Cone of uncertainty tighter than variability in ensemble tracks
- GFS, GEFS and HRRR all have low wind speeds
- Tracks towards Ft. Myers only about 1 day before landfall



 GFS and HRRR hindcast (reanalysis) products still underestimate surge levels.
 Winds are too low.

 NHC Best Track and Forecast advisories approximate intensity well.
 Use this to enrich underestimated met. forcing.



• Both GFS and HRRR reanalysis products have low Vmax's.

- Forecast products have even lower Vmax's (shown previously).



- Global Surge and Tide Operational Forecast System (G-STOFS)
 - Uses ADCIRC model with GFS meteorological forcing.
 - -- Forecasts out to 7 days
 - Includes various other forcings
 - -- Tidal potential constituents, Garratt wind drag, self-attraction and loading tidal constituents, sea ice drag, bottom friction, internal tide wave drag



- Global Surge and Tide Operational Forecast System (G-STOFS)
 - Uses ADCIRC model with GFS meteorological forcing.
 - -- Forecasts out to 7 days
 - Includes various other forcings
 - -- Tidal potential constituents, Garratt wind drag, self-attraction and loading tidal constituents, sea ice drag, bottom friction, internal tide wave drag



- Global Surge and Tide Operational Forecast System (G-STOFS)
 - Uses ADCIRC model with GFS meteorological forcing.
 - -- Forecasts out to 7 days
 - Includes various other forcings
 - -- Tidal potential constituents, Garratt wind drag, self-attraction and loading tidal constituents, sea ice drag, bottom friction, internal tide wave drag



Surge levels from OWI accurate

- These are "best" winds available.
- \rightarrow Rules out deficiency due to met. forcing.
- NO apparent bias and NO muted surge levels.
- \rightarrow NO significant model discrepancy for lan.
- → Meteorology only significant source of epistemic uncertainty, *i.e.*, poor met. \rightarrow poor G-STOFS skill

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

HWM (USGS)

Peak (USGS)

Interrogate a variety of wind products:

Product	Deterministic?	Δχ	Δt	Fcast Extent
GFS	Y	13km	1 hr	16 days
GEFS	Ν	25km	3 hr	16 days
HRRR	Y	3km	1 hr	2 days
OWI	Y	.2 ^o 02 ^o	15 min	N/A

• What impact, if any, do Δx and Δt have on G-STOFS results?

- May need to compensate for lower resolution if using GEFS for Probabilistic Storm Surge Guidance (PSSG)



• Both 3-hr (left) and 1-hr (right) GFS forcings underestimate surge.

- 1-hr forcing closer to HWMs and peaks
- 1-hr gives about +30% surge and better error stats.



• GFS (13 km, on left) provides lower surge levels than HRRR (3km, on right).

- Higher grid resolution increases flood levels by up to 20%.
- Surge levels are still too low, especially along Caloosahatchee River.

-- Motivates assimilation strategy informed by NHC best track/advisories.



- Use NHC Vmax's to inform wind amplification for other products.
- 1. Reduce NHC Vmax by 10% to approximately convert 2-min winds to 10-min.
- 2. Smooth NHC reduced Vmax curve by fitting a series of Gaussian curves.
- 3. Find time-series of Vmax's from dynamical wind product.
- 4. Smooth dynamic wind product's Vmax's in the same way as in step 2.
- 5. Divide smoothed NHC Vmax curve by smoothed wind product Vmax curve.



• Provides amplification factor as a function of time.

- Only apply factors > 1.



- 6. Amplify winds within 64-kt radius (averaged over all quadrants) by full factor.
- 7. Scale down amplification factor to 1 at boundary of 50-kt radius (averaged).





- Amplified winds provide better agreement w/ measured data.
 - Compare with Aircraft-Based Tropical Cyclone Surface Wind Analysis (ABTCSWA)
 - -- assimilates aircraft recon. w/ satellite data
 - Winds greater than 40 m/s especially better represented.



Amplified HRRR (right) has better error statistics than amplified GFS (left).
 Both products still capture the relevant max. surge levels.

Hurricane Ian Case Study



• Hydrographs show amplified winds match (or exceed) the peak but are "lagged".

- Also, much slower drawdown compared to OWI in some places.
- Likely due to errors in track.



- Analogous strategy can be adopted for forecasts.
- Use Vmax's and wind radii from most recent NHC advisory.
 - Both GFS and HRRR skillful ~24 hours prior to landfall (9/28 19:00)
 - Both give elevated water levels before then, but too low.



 Lower surge levels for >24-hours before landfall attributed to poor tracking. • HRRR Sep 27, 12:00 fcast. shows lower surge in Ft. Myers than fcasts. on Sep 27 06:00 or Sep 27 18:00. - Model is very sensitive to met. forcing here. - HRRR winds more volatile.



 High volatility w/ model sensitivity motivates using ensemble fcasting. - Sep 27 06:00 and Sep 27 18:00 fcasts. show high winds at Ft. Myers beach near land fall time. - Sep 27 12:00 fcast. has much lower winds here. Using GEFS accounts for volatility, and amplification accounts for low winds.



- Exceedance probabilities from amplified GEFS show surge risk > 2 days out.
 - KDE of PDF for max. water level 31 ensemble members weighted equally.
 - At least a handful of members track near Ft. Myers.
 - Exceedance prob. ~70% for coastal communities, 48 24 hours out.
 - -- Becomes 100% at 19 hours out and >75% along Caloosahatchee River.



- Conclusion: by assimilating wind speed data from NHC we can enhance both deterministic and probabilistic storm surge guidance (PSSG).
 - Deterministic products prone to track errors.
 - PSSG more effective, since it also accounts for track errors.

Bonus Slide 1



• Other storms also show similarly underpredicted wind speeds.

- This method should be generally applicable for any storm forecast.

Bonus Slide 2



- We prefer amplification of ensemble vs. using synthetic vortices for 2 reasons:
- 1. Tracks are generally confined to NHC cone of uncertainty.
 - Cone based on past 5 years of track fcasts. over basin.
 - Fixed temporal variability for a given year, not accounting for impact of met. on track variability.
 - Ensemble storm tracks can vary much more widely than this.
 - -- e.g. lan vs. Nicole, 2022 and Fred vs. Ida, 2021
- 2. Synthetic vortices reduce complexity of the system.
 - Synthetic approach uses a reduced parameter set.
 - May miss dynamics particular to the storm event.