## The European Commission's science and knowledge service

32

3

#### Joint Research Centre

de.



# The Unresolved Obstacles Source Term, application cases on regular and triangular meshes

Lorenzo Mentaschi, Giovanni Besio, Michalis Vousdoukas, Luc Feyen

November 2019, Melbourne



#### **Unresolved Obstacles Source Term (UOST)**

Approach to parameterize the effect of small islands based on source terms

- LD-SE scheme: 2 source terms:
- Local Dissipation
- Shadow effect



For regular grids UOST comes as an alternative to the approach implmemented in the numerical scheme «GRIDGEN»

#### **Advantages:**

- it improves the model skill by considering obstacles layout and direction
- it can be applied to any type of mesh

#### PART OF RELEASE 6.07 OF WW3 (UOST SWITCH)

## 2 types of transparency coefficient

- For local and shadow dissipation
- For each spectral component
- **a**: total transparency
- **β**: obstacles layout-dependent transparency





SoftwareX Volume 9, January–June 2019, Pages 1-6

Original software publication

alphaBetaLab: Automatic estimation of subscale transparencies for the Unresolved Obstacles Source Term in ocean wave modelling





- Computes a and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  for meshes from real bathymetries
- Python3 library (but no need to be a python programmer to use it)
- Supports regular and triangular meshes
- The computation is fully parallelized. Fast enough.
- Documentation:
  - Code available on github
  - Wiki page
  - Installation guide
  - Examples
  - Publication describing the architecture



## Time step settings:

•

for UOST to work properly at a given cell/spectral component ...

- the global time step  $(T_G)$  should be  $\leq$  the critical CFL time step  $T_{CFL}$
- $T_G > T_{CFL}$ : the energy travels through more than one cell before the source term is applied. LEAKAGE OF ENERGY.



 $T_G \leq T_{CFL}$ : the energy travels through less than one cell before the source term is applied.





## Importance of representing subscale obstacles

(10 years runs at resolutions 1.5° and 0.4°, forced by CFSR, validation with sat. altimeters)



## The effects of subscale modelling at 1.5° res. Model's skill improves a lot if any u.o. parameterization is adopted





## The effects of subscale modelling at 0.4° res.



- Still, in areas with small islands UOST is doing better
- Apparently, in some areas GDGN overestimates the effect of the unresolved island
- Hypothesis:the differences btw
  GDGN and UOST are mainly in the diagonal swell



## Unresolved obstalces in a longitudinal swell (0.4°) UOST and GDGN are almost identical





#### Unresolved obstalces in a diagonal swell (0.4°) Significant differences between UOST and GDGN



2008-02-22

Frequency (Hz)

2008-02-23

2008-02-24

Possible explanation of GDGN overdissipation in diagonal swell (a monochromatic thought experiment with a circular island) ... how does it work?

$$\underline{\mathsf{E}}_{\circ} \quad \boxed{\alpha_{-} \mathsf{E}}_{\circ} \quad \underline{\alpha_{+} \alpha_{-} \mathsf{E}}_{\circ} = \alpha \mathsf{E}_{\circ}$$

 $\alpha = \alpha \cdot \alpha_+$ 

Behavior with diagonal swell (circular island with a=0.5)





The final energy is  $0.5E_0$ 

... but the diagonal cross-section is 0.35 ...



#### ... the final energy should be $0.65E_0$



#### UOST and triangular meshes

- UOST can help the modeller to better concentrate on the areas of interest, and not to increase the resolution at any small island.
- Case study: triangular mesh with 15km res. offshore and 2km nearshore. Forcing from downscaled CFSR.





bias (m)

# Comparison between UOST and NOSM:

- validation offshore versus satellite altimeters (10 years).
- UOST significantly reduces the model bias (shaded areas).



#### Comparison btw triangular (UOST) and regular (GDGN)





 unstructured setup with 15km res. offshore and 2km res. nearshore.

$$\widehat{\underline{\varepsilon}}$$
 • validation offshore versus  
satellite altimeters (30 years).



## Final remarks

- The parameterizing u.o. plays an important role in the skills of a model: a 1.5° res. model with a parameterization of u.o. performs better than a 0.4° res. model without.
- In regular grids UOST can improve the model skill by better representing the geometry and the layout of the obstacles, especially in presence of diagonal swell.
- In triangular meshes UOST removes the need of increasing the resolution in proximity of any small feature, potentially leading to
  - the simplification in the development process of large scale meshes
  - a significant decrease of the computational demand of accurate large scale meshes.
- UOST is part of WW3 6.07 (UOST switch)



#### References

Mentaschi, L., Pérez, J., Besio, G., Mendez, F. J., & Menendez, M. (2015). Parameterization of unresolved obstacles in wave modelling: A source term approach. Ocean Modelling, 96, 93-102.

Mentaschi, L., Kakoulaki, G., Vousdoukas, M., Voukouvalas, E., Feyen, L., & Besio, G. (2018). Parameterizing unresolved obstacles with source terms in wave modeling: A real-world application. Ocean Modelling, 126, 77-84.

Mentaschi, L., Vousdoukas, M., Besio, G., & Feyen, L. (2019). alphaBetaLab: Automatic estimation of subscale transparencies for the Unresolved Obstacles Source Term in ocean wave modelling. SoftwareX, 9, 1-6.



## Any questions?

You can find me at lorenzo.mentaschi@ec.europa.eu



#### **UOST equations**



**Total block:** *α* --> **0** 

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Big|_{LD} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\Big|_{SE} = -D \gamma F , \gamma >> 1$$



## Meaning of $\beta$

 $\beta \approx a$ : all the unresolved obstacles are close to the upstream side.

 $\beta \approx 1$ : all the unresolved obstacles are close to the downstream side. Their effect on the local cell is small.











