# Estimation of directional spreading within surface water waves

Yannis Karmpadakis, Chris Swan, Mohamed Latheef, Marios Christou

Fluid Mechanics Section Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering Imperial College London

### Introduction

- Crest height statistics important for design of marine structures;
- Evidence of amplifications beyond 2<sup>nd</sup>-order from the field; (Christou & Ewans, 2012)
- Directionality acts to reduce these non-linear amplifications;
- Limited amount of data from the field in steep seastates;
- Laboratory simulations incorporating non-linearity and directionality;
- Role of directionality in steep sea-states and the formation of large wave events.

### Key points

- 1. Generation of directionally spread sea-states in a laboratory environment;
- 2. Estimation of the degree of directional spreading within a sea-state and large individual waves;
- 3. Changes in the directional spreading with increasing steepness.



### **Experimental** investigation



Imperial College London wave basin Water depth: d = 1.25 m Measurements:  $\eta$  and u, v, w. 20 seeds x 1024 s JONSWAP spectra,  $\gamma = 2.5$  $H_s = [0.10, 0.15, 0.20]$  m  $T_p = 1.6$  s,  $\sigma_{\theta} = 15^o$ 

For the frequency spectrum,  $S_{\eta\eta}(\omega)$ , the directional spectrum is:  $F(\omega, \theta) = S_{\eta\eta}(\omega)D(\omega, \theta)$ , (1) where  $D(\omega, \theta)$  is the directional spreading function (DSF).

In this study: Gaussian DSF - frequency independent

 $D(\omega, \theta) = \frac{A}{\sigma_{\theta \sqrt{2\pi}}} e^{\left[-\frac{(\theta - \theta_m)^2}{2\sigma_{\theta}^2}\right]}$ with  $\sigma_{\theta}$  the standard deviation,  $\theta_m$  the mean wave direction and A a scaling parameter.

(2)

### Methods of directional wave generation: DSM

Three methods of generation:

- Double Summation Method (DSM):
  - Each frequency (*i*=1:*N*) has M wave components at different directions
  - Total MN components
  - Issues with ergodicity, cancellation of wave components with same frequency but different directions

$$\eta(x, y, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} A_{ij} \cos[\omega_i t - k(x \cos \theta_j + y \sin \theta_j) + \epsilon_{ij}], \quad (3)$$

#### where

$$\omega_i = i(2\pi\Delta f), \ \theta_j = j \ \Delta \theta \text{ and } A_{ij} = \sqrt{2} \ S_{\eta\eta}(\omega, \theta) \Delta \omega \Delta \theta$$

### Methods of directional wave generation: DSM

Numerical Simulations with LRWT:

- Repeat time = 1024 s (3 hrs field equivalent)  $\Delta f = 1/1024$  Hz
- Variations in  $H_s \sim 5\%$  unwanted in model testing
- Increase frequency discretization  $\frac{1}{P \Delta f}$ , P = 2,4,8 ... 48

practical problems with wave-makers



### Methods of directional wave generation: SSM,RDM

- Single Summation Method (SSM):
  - Widely applied
  - Division in frequency bands,
  - Each frequency 1 direction
  - No problems with ergodicity
  - Sensitive to the discretization of the frequency spectrum

 $\eta(x, y, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i \cos[\omega_i t - k(x \cos \theta_i + y \sin \theta_i) + \epsilon_i]$  (4) where  $\omega_i = \frac{i \Delta \Omega}{M}$ , where  $\Delta \Omega$  is the width of the band.

- Random Directional method (RDM)
  - Same as above, single summation
  - Each frequency 1 direction across the whole spectrum
  - Directions chosen randomly from a weighting function
  - Less sensitive to discretization



### Methods of directional wave generation: SSM,RDM

Same results for finer discretization SSM:  $\Delta f = 1/8192$  RDM:  $\Delta f = 1/4096$ 



All methods give same results for:  $\frac{1}{\Delta f} \rightarrow 0$ 

### Directional analysis: input data

•  $\sigma_{\theta}$ =20°, 20 seeds x 1024 s

90

- calculations based upon the EMEP
- comparisons between various input data





1.4

### Directional analysis: methods

- $\sigma_{\theta}$ =20°, 20 seeds x 1024 s
- calculations based upon  $\eta$ ,u,v
- comparisons between various analysis methods



### Directional analysis: sea-state

#### Comparisons to laboratory data ( $H_s$ =10m, $\frac{1}{2}H_s k_p$ =0.081)

•  $\sigma_{\theta}$ =15°

• calculated using the EMEP

input data: η,u,v

sea state generated using RDM

**Imperial College** 

London



### Directional analysis: sea-state

Comparisons to laboratory data ( $H_s$ =15.0m,  $\frac{1}{2}H_s k_p$ =0.122)

•  $\sigma_{\theta}$ =15°

• calculated using the EMEP

input data: η,u,v

sea state generated using RDM

**Imperial College** 

London



### Directional analysis: sea-state

#### Comparisons to laboratory data ( $H_s$ =20.0m, $\frac{1}{2}H_s k_p$ =0.163)

•  $\sigma_{\theta}$ =15°

• calculated using the EMEP

• input data:  $\eta$ ,u,v

• sea state generated using RDM

**Imperial College** 

London





# Directional analysis: alternative method London

- comparisons to laboratory data
- VRF averaged over 20 x 3-hour seeds for each sea state

**Imperial College** 

• changes with  $H_s$ 



### Velocity reduction factor (VRF)

- Comparisons to laboratory data ( $H_s$ =10m,  $\sigma_{\theta}$ =15°,  $\frac{1}{2}H_s k_p$ =0.081)
- VRF calculated for individual waves
- Plotted in terms of the normalised crest elevation,  $\eta_c/\eta_{cmax}$



### Velocity reduction factor (VRF)

- Comparisons to laboratory data ( $H_s$ =15m,  $\sigma_{\theta}$ =15°,  $\frac{1}{2}H_s k_p$ =0.122)
- VRF calculated for individual waves
- Plotted in terms of the normalised crest elevation,  $\eta_c/\eta_{cmax}$



### Velocity reduction factor (VRF)

- Comparisons to laboratory data ( $H_s$ =20m,  $\sigma_{\theta}$ =15°,  $\frac{1}{2}H_s k_p$ =0.163)
- VRF calculated for individual waves
- Plotted in terms of the normalised crest elevation,  $\eta_c/\eta_{cmax}$



### Concluding remarks

- Preferred method of directional wave generation: RDM
  - Computationally efficient
  - Ergodic
  - Easy to implement
- Directional spreading decreases in steeper sea-states
- Large individual waves are less directionally spread
- Results agree with experimental and numerical studies (e.g. Johannessen & Swan, 2001 & 2003; Adcock, et al. 2012 & 2015)
- Extension to intermediate and shallow water depths through LoWiSh JIP (currently restricted)
- Part of results available in Proc. Royal Society:

"A laboratory study of nonlinear changes in the directionality of extreme seas" (2017), M.Latheef, C.Swan, J.Spinneken

## Thank you for your attention!



### Short-term distribution of crest heights

- Effects beyond O(a<sup>2</sup>k<sup>2</sup>)
- Both in field data (North Sea) and laboratory data (ICL)



### **Directional analysis: Generation method**

- σ<sub>θ</sub>=20°
- calculations based upon the EMEP
- comparisons between different methods of directional simulation



 $\sigma_{\theta}$  vs.  $f/f_{p}$ 

**Directional spreading function, DSF** 

### **Directional spectrum**

Given the frequency spectrum,  $S_{\eta\eta}(\omega)$ , the directional spectrum is:  $F(\omega, \theta) = S_{\eta\eta}(\omega)D(\omega, \theta)$ ,

where  $D(\omega, \theta)$  is the directional spreading function (DSF).

In terms of Fourrier series:

 $D(\omega,\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(\omega) \cos n\theta + B_n(\omega) \sin n\theta \right\},$ (1) where  $A_n(\omega) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D(\omega,\theta) \cos n\theta$  and  $B_n(\omega) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D(\omega,\theta) \sin n\theta$ 

In this study: Gaussian DSF - frequency independent

$$D(\omega,\theta) = \frac{A}{\sigma_{\theta\sqrt{2\pi}}} e^{\left[-\frac{(\theta-\theta_m)^2}{2\sigma_{\theta}^2}\right]}$$
(2)

with  $\sigma_{\theta}$  the standard deviation and  $\theta_m$  the mean wave direction

RMS spreading:  $\sigma_{\theta} = \sigma_1(\omega) = \sqrt{2[1 - \sqrt{A_1^2(\omega) + B_1^2(\omega)}]}$ 

#### **Earlier work:**

- Numerical calculations of focused waves (spectral model BST)
- Local reduction in directional spreading
- Supporting laboratory data (Johannessen & Swan, 2001 & 2003)

