

Modeling the North West European Shelf using Delft3D Flexible Mesh

2nd JCOMM Scientific and Technical Symposium on Storm Surges, 8-13 Nov. 2015, Key West, USA; **Firmijn Zijl** Modelling the North West European Shelf using Delft3D Flexible Mesh

- Background; Dutch Continental Shelf Model version 6 (DCSMv6)
- Comparsion result HIRLAM vs ECMWF
- Flexible Mesh Grid optimization
- Towards a new 3D transport model for the North Sea ...





Real-time forecasting in The Netherlands

Real-time water level forecasting in the Netherlands

- •Need for accurate, real-time operational water level forecasting
- •Water-level forecasts at stations along the Dutch coast are provided every 6 h, with a 48-hour lead time.
- After the November 2006 All Saints storm it was decided that further improvements in model framework were required
- Decision to completely redesign the operational model
- New generation model is part of a comprehensive development to upgrade the operational forecasting system for the North Sea

:ral significant wave height) in m source : swan_dcsm 06:00:00 analysis: 2011-08-07 00:00:00 ion











DCSMv6 - model setup



(A descent

DCSMv6 (model grid and bathymetry

Model setup - computational grid

- Increased spatial coverage
- Uniform cell size of 1.5' (1/40°) in east-west direction and 1.0' (1/60°) in north-south direction (~nautical mile)
- Around 10⁶ active grid cells
- With a computational time step of 2 minutes, a 1 day simulation takes approximately 5 minutes on 12 computational cores

Model setup – bathymetry

- Initially based on NOOS gridded bathymetry data set, supplemented by ETOPO2
- Changes made during calibration



Model setup (boundary forcing)

Model setup - boundary forcing

- Open boundary with 205 sections
- Distinction made between 2 components of the water level elevation:
- (1)Tide (38 constituents)

(2)Surge, as an inverse barometer correction (IBC) based on time and space varying pressure fields

Model setup - meteo forcing

- Wind speed and air pressure from HIRLAM NWP model provided (operationally) by KNMI
- Sea surface roughness is calculated using the Charnock relation (Charnock parameter 0.025)
- Tide Generating Forces (TGF) included



Calibration: DCSMv6 (against satelite altimeter data)





M2 Phase

DCSMv6 – calibration

OpenDA-DUD experiment setup and parameters

- Parameters related to prescription at the open boundary excluded from the optimization problem
- Tidal error introduced during the tidal propagation
- Reduction of uncertainty in bottom friction coefficient and bathymetry
- Control parameters defined between measurement locations
- Multiple optimization runs, with increasing length and number of parameters
 - Long period to account for non-stationarity of tidal amplitudes and phases
 - Large area to account for spatial interaction

•Final experiment had 200 control parameters, 12 months, ~100 observations locations



Model calibration

Calibration and validation using tide gauge data at >120 locations



Green dots: radar altimeter cross-over locations Red dots: in-situ tide-gauge locations



Results: Dutch coastal tide gauge stations

Goodness-of-Fit (in cm) for 13 Dutch coastal stations, 2007

	RMSE (tide)	RMSE (surge)	RMSE (total)	RMSE (high water)	RMSE (low water)
DCSMv5	10.7	7.7	13.1	11.3	11.0
DCSMv6 (Regular mesh)	3.8	5.9	7.0	6.6	7.1
	-64%	-23%	-47%	-42%	-35%

(harmonic analysis with 118 constituents)



DCSMv6 - results

Water level elevation [m]









y=1.009x+0.000, y=1.009x, p=0.99





DCSMv6 – Hoek van Holland; HIRLAM vs ECMWF

Hirlam

ECMWF



14 september 2015

	RMSE (tide)	RMSE (surge)	RMSE (total)	RMSE (high water)	RMSE (low water)
DCSMv6-Hirlam	4.6	5.9	7.3	6.7	7.0
DCSMv6-ECMWF	4.5	5.8	7.2	6.6	6.8

skew surges from 2013-01 to 2015-06

	all skew surges		1% highest skew surges		0.2% highest skew surges		
Model	bias	RMSE	bias	RMSE	bias RM		RMSE
DCSMv6-Hirlam	1.4	6.2	4.7	14.6	15.7		19.1
DCSMv6-ECMWF	1.4	6.1	4.4	12.4	11.0		13.6

-29%

Deltares

14 september 2015

VERSION with Flexible Mesh



Local grid coarsening

Computational net DCSMv6 FM Computational net DCSMv6 FM (detail transition fine to coarse) 62.5 60.0 48 57.5 400 m contour 55.0 Lattitude Lattitude 100 m contour 52.5 50.0 47.5 46 45.0 -15.0 -12.5 -10.0 -7.5 12.5 15.0 -5.0 -2.5 0.0 2.5 5.0 10.0 -7 7.5 -8 -6 -5 Longitude Longitude

Red:original grid sizeBlue:2x coarsened

4x coarsened

Green:

- # net nodes from 860,000 to 358,000 (factor 2.5)
- # net links from 1,700,000 to 752,000 (factor 2.25)

Grid optimization

Numerical time step

- •Numerical accuracy is determined by the (wave) Courant number
- •As this is proportional to the square root of the depth, with a uniform grid size, the deepest cells are limiting the computational time step.
- •Further increase in computational speed by increasing maximum allowed time step from 2 to 3 minutes

With the upgraded flexible resolution grid and increased time step, the model is roughly speaking 3-4 times faster (on one core)

Goodness-of-Fit (in cm) - 13 Dutch coastal stations - entire year of 2007)

	RMSE (tide)	RMSE (surge)	RMSE (total)
Regular mesh	4.1	5.9	7.2
Delft3D FM	4.9	6.0	7.7
Delft3D FM (coarsened)	5.2	6.0	8.0