Morphological Control on Overwashing Hazard at an Energy Generation Asset

Thomas Prime, Jennifer M. Brown and Andrew J. Plater

Adaptation and Resilience of Coastal Energy Supply











Adaptation and Resilience of Coastal Energy Supply







Impacts of 2013/2014 Winter Storms on UK North Sea Coastline









Current Defences are mostly resilient, but aging and increasing mean sea levels will deteriorate their resilience to extreme events



Study Area: Sizewell Power Station and Minsmere Nature Reserve





















Xbeach-G: Storm Impact Model for Gravel Beaches

XBeach-G is a branch of the main XBeach development that is being developed to simulate storm impacts on gravel beaches.





21600 seconds

Time (seconds)

28800 seconds

36000 seconds

43200 seconds

-1.5

0 seconds

7200 seconds

14400 seconds















Simulating an ensemble of scenarios

Reasons an ensemble of scenarios would be required, these include:

- being able to modify beach profiles to simulate the benefit and any negative impacts of beach nourishment
- Increasing the defence crest height to reduce overwashing risk
- Running many different joint probability combinations to understand the uncertainty in these joint probabilities
- Able to run lots of probabilities of occurrence at different projected SLR

Reducing computational cost is important as it allows all these benefits while not having a detrimental impact on the

result.





Reducing Computational Cost of Running Multiple Scenarios





Extreme Event being simulated

- 1 in 1000 year return period extreme water level (3.6 m OD)
- Wave conditions measured during 6th December 2013 extreme event from nearby wave buoy



dentify		
Identify from	<top-most layer=""></top-most>	
⊡-CFB_Extr	eme_Sea_Levels	
		2
Location:	649,682.473 264,422.455 Meters	
Field	Value	
FID	2096	
Shape	Point	
Id	0	
CHAINAGE	4192	
T1	1.98	
T2	2.12	
T5	2.3	
T10	2.45	
T20	2.6	
T25	2.65	
T50	2.8	
T75	2.9	
T100	2.96	
T150	3.07	
T200	3.13	
T250	3.19	
T300	3.23	
T500	3.36	
T1000	3.55	
T10000	4.21	
ISLAND	MAIN	
	2008	
BASE_YEAR	10	



Inundation Extent and Depth Difference of Real Profiles and 50th Percentile Representative Profile







Flood Depth Difference	Extent (m ²)	Percentage matched
≥1 m	10,250	0.2%
< 1 m & ≥ 0.25 m	487,675	10%
< 0.25 m & ≥ 0.15 m	2,141,025	47%
< 0.15 m	2,112,625	46%
Simulation	Extent (m²)	Percentage matched
Multiple defence profile simulation	4,576,950	100%
50 th Percentile profile simulation	3,930,450	86%

Conclusions

- Using 50th percentile representative profile is much less computationally expensive when for running ensemble model scenarios
- The results obtained by using two representative sections with a 50th percentile profile for each, is a good match to results obtained from 45 profiles
- 86% of the inundation matches between the two scenarios and 93% of flood depths are within 0.25 m of each other.

Further Work

- Calculate a joint probability analysis using data from a model of the North Sea rather than wave buoy data
- Apply an ensemble of scenarios to this study area to simulate different wave and water combinations making up the same probability of occurrence
- Modify representative profile to simulate effect of improving beach defence resilience by increasing defence width, height and offshore bank width and height.