### Dissipation due to Vegetation in Nearshore Wave Models

### Jane McKee Smith

US Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Coastal and Hydraulic Laboratory

#### Alison Sleath Grzegorzewski US Army Corps of Engineers, New Orleans District



## Motivation

- Estimate importance of wave attenuation by wetlands
- Determine if models can replicated it



# Description

- Bottom friction in STWAVE
- South Louisiana simulations
- Calibration with lab and field data
- Open questions...



# Summary

- Friction values must be function of vegetation height relative to water depth
- Much more validation data is needed
  - Large scale
  - Large events
- Present formulation is reasonable for the state of knowledge

• To use vegetation for coastal protection, much more knowledge is needed



## **Bottom Friction in STWAVE**

Holthuijsen (2007) with Manning n

$$S_{bf} = \frac{-1}{g} \left( \frac{gn^2}{d^{1/3}} \right) \frac{\sigma^2}{\sinh^2 kd} E(f, \alpha) u_{rms}$$

 n values are spatially variable, but temporally constant

- + W - share with make



### **New Orleans & SE Louisiana**

**Mississippi Sound** 

Lake Pontchartrain

> Orleans New Orleans

Lake Borgne

St. Bernard

East

Plaquemines

Caernarvon Marsh Biloxi Marsh

> Breton Sound

> > Chandeluer Islands



#### Manning n values

0.20 0.19 0.18

# Manning n Values





#### Wave Height Difference (m)

# No Friction - Friction Hurricane Katrina



Percent Wave Height Difference			No Friction – Friction	
	100.0		Hurricane Katrina	
	90.0			
	80.0			
	70.0			
	60.0			
	50.0			
	40.0			
	30.0			
	20.0			
	10.0			
	0.0			

#### Wave Height Difference (m)

### No Friction – Double Friction Hurricane Katrina



2.20

### Wave Height Difference (m)

### No Friction – Half Friction Hurricane Katrina





### Lake Borgne Measurements

- Measure wave attenuation across wetlands
  - Five non-directional wave/water level gauges
  - Anemometer
  - Characterization of wetland (elevation, plant type, plant density, plant height, ...)

### Lake Borgne Field Site

#### Lake Pontchartrain

#### **New Orleans**

Lake Borgne

**Biloxi Marsh** 

Measurement Site



## Lake Borgne Deployment

Inner gauges ~300-600 m from lake





# **Existing Data Sets**

- Knutson et al. 1982
  - Spartina alterniflora, field, individual waves, I/d ~ 0.75 1

### Fonseca & Cahalan 1992

- Four plants, lab, individual regular waves, l/d ~ 1
- Dubi & Torum 1996
  - Kelp, lab, regular & irregular waves, l/d ~ 0.3

### • Lovas 2000

- Kelp, lab, irregular waves, l/d ~ 0.2-0.3
- Wallace & Cox 2000
  - Posidonia Australis, lab, regular waves, l/d ~ 0.8-1.0



### Spartina Alterniflora



### Posidonia Australis



US Army Corps of Engineers

### Laminaria Hyperborea

### Knutson et al. Data



### Knutson et al. Data



### Dubi Data



# Summary of Data/Validation

### • Knutson et al. 1982

- Spartina alterniflora, field, individual waves, I/d ~ 0.75 1
- n = 0.3 (average), 0.22 (for larger waves)

#### Fonseca & Cahalan 1992

- Four plants, lab, individual regular waves, l/d ~ 1
- n = 0.2-0.6, 40% decay in 1 m (density & species independent)

#### • Dubi & Torum 1996

- Kelp, lab, regular & irregular waves, l/d ~ 0.3
- n = 0.22, 15% reduction in n for irregular v. regular waves

#### Lovas 2000

- Kelp, lab, irregular waves, l/d ~ 0.2-0.3
- n = 0.18, showed dependence of n on plant density

### Wallace & Cox 2000

- Posidonia Australis, lab, regular waves, I/d ~ 0.8-1.0
- n = 0.18



# Summary

- Biloxi Marsh
  - n = 0.04-0.06 (consistent w/ Chow & ADCIRC)
  - Modeled maximum wave heights reduced
    1.5 m by friction in Katrina
  - Lack validation
- Lab and Field data
  - -n~0.20, with LARGE variability (factor~10)
  - Chow: very high vegetation + 0.05 to 0.1



# Summary

### Data Requirements

- Controlled experiments
  - Quantify relative density, relative submergence, flexibility, seasonality (vegetation height/density)
  - Test over range of irregular waves

### - Field Measurements

- Large scale
- Natural variability

### Modeling

- Validation

### Increase sophistication

- Temporal variability of n
- Interaction with mean currents
- Separation of bottom friction and form drag
- Impact on setup



of Engineers